

Bruce A. Measure
Chair
Montana

Rhonda Whiting
Montana

W. Bill Booth
Idaho

James A. Yost
Idaho



Dick Wallace
Vice-Chair
Washington

Tom Karier
Washington

Melinda S. Eden
Oregon

Joan M. Dukes
Oregon

May 27, 2010

MEMORANDUM

TO: Fish and Wildlife Committee members

FROM: Mark Fritsch, project implementation manager

SUBJECT: Council decision on Project #2008-508-00, *Power Analysis Catch Sampling Rates*, Columbia Basin Fish Accord project.

PROPOSED ACTION: Recommendation to move forward with implementation of initial aspects of this project, with further implementation dependent on a review, by the ISRP and Council, of a revised proposal containing information requested by the ISRP.

BUDGETARY/ECONOMIC IMPACTS

The total amount associated with this Accord project equals \$546,041 (e.g., ranges from \$48,315 to \$60,920 per year¹) in expense funds for Fiscal Years 2008 through 2017. The Contract Request (CR- 106603) associated with the project reflects a start date of March 1, 2010 and an end date of April 30, 2011.

BACKGROUND

In 2008-2009, the Bonneville Power Administration, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation ("Action Agencies") signed agreements with the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR), the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon (CTWSRO), the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation (YN), and the Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission (CRITFC). The agreement with these Tribes and CRITFC is referred to as the Three Treaty Tribes MOA. The Action Agencies also signed agreements with the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation (CCT), the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes (SBT), and the states of Idaho, Montana, and Washington. These agreements are known as the Columbia Basin Fish Accords.

As set forth in the guidance document outlining the review process for the Accords, the Council recognizes Bonneville's commitment to Accord projects. The Accords do not, however, alter the

¹ This range includes the anticipated 2.5 percent annual inflation adjustment, beginning in Fiscal Year 2010.

Council's responsibilities with respect to independent scientific review of project proposals or the Council's role following such reviews. As with all projects in the Fish and Wildlife Program, Accord projects are subject to review by the Independent Scientific Review Panel (ISRP), and the Council provides funding recommendations based on full consideration of the ISRP's report and the Council's Program.

On July 30, 2009, the Council received from Bonneville a Columbia Basin Fish Accord proposal from the Columbia River Intertribal Fish Commission (CRITFC), #2008-508-00, *Power Analysis Catch Sampling Rates*. The proposal was submitted to the ISRP for review. On August 26, 2009, the ISRP requested additional information before they could determine if the proposal met scientific criteria (ISRP document 2009-37).

The overarching goal of this project is to develop a monitoring framework for tribal harvest estimates throughout the Columbia Basin. The project also seeks to implement better data collection and distribution technologies in order to improve harvest estimates. The project has the following long-term objectives.

- Ensuring that the sample design and estimation methods for producing tribal catch estimates are statistically valid;
- Making accurate harvest data readily available for decision making;
- Improving the transparency and dissemination of catch estimates, with associated variances; and
- Accounting for the uncertainty of estimates in management.

On April 26, 2010 the Council received a response from Bonneville, and on May 25, 2010 the Council received the final review from the ISRP (ISRP document 2010-14). The ISRP found that the proposal "Meets Scientific Review Criteria (Qualified)". To date, no public comment has been received on the ISRP reviews.

ANALYSIS

The ISRP supports the overarching goal of the project, but found additional detail is needed to address the project's long-term objectives. The ISRP indicated the need for additional information should not hold up implementation of the initial phase of the project which involves documenting the precision of the current methods and estimates of Zone 6 tribal gillnet harvest. The ISRP qualified its review in recommending that the proponent initiate the project for one year, but submit a revised proposal for review for other project activities.

Based on the ISRP review the Council staff recommends that the Fish and Wildlife Committee recommend to the Council implementation of the initial aspects of the project consistent with the ISRP's recommendation. Council staff also recommends that implementation in the out years is dependent on receipt and review, by the ISRP and Council, of a revised proposal providing the information requested by the ISRP.