Bruce A. Measure Chair Montana

Rhonda Whiting Montana

W. Bill Booth Idaho

James A. Yost Idaho



Tom Karier Washington

Phil Rockefeller Washington

Bill Bradbury Oregon

Joan M. Dukes Oregon

June 30, 2011

MEMORANDUM

TO: Council members

FROM: Jim Ruff – Manager, Mainstem Passage and River Operations John Fazio, Senior Power Systems Analyst

SUBJECT: Briefing on Analysis of Climate Change Effects on Columbia River Hydrosystem

At the July 13, 2011, Council meeting in Portland, a panel of federal agency representatives will brief the Council on their recently completed modeling analysis of climate change effects on the Columbia/Snake River hydropower system. Included on the panel will be Jim Barton from the Northwestern Division of the Corps of Engineers (Corps), Pat McGrane from the Bureau of Reclamation's (Reclamation) Boise office, and Rick Pendergrass from the Bonneville Power Administration (Bonneville).

The Corps, Reclamation and Bonneville collaborated on developing an array of climate change and hydrology datasets and hydrosystem modeling efforts in support of their longer-term planning activities in the Columbia-Snake River Basin. This effort was led by the federal River Management Joint Operating Committee (RMJOC), a forum consisting of water managers, hydrologists, and power schedulers from Reclamation, the Corps, and Bonneville.

The RMJOC meets regularly and evaluates operational and/or infrastructure actions that may impact dam operations in the Pacific Northwest. This collaboration also included input from many stakeholder agencies, including staff from the Northwest Power and Conservation Council, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, NOAA, Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission, and others so that their perspectives could be incorporated throughout this analytical effort.

This study focused on how climate change could impact hydrology and water supplies in the Columbia River Basin, and how supply-related impacts may affect project facility operations conducted by the three federal agencies. The information developed in this effort will be used for future analyses such as the Columbia River Treaty 2014/2024 Review and other potential studies. Climate change effects on water demands and project operating constraints are being assessed in ongoing research and potential follow-up collaboration.



RMJOC Climate Change Initiative Briefing to NWPCC



Presented by: Rick Pendergrass – Bonneville Power Administration Pat McGrane – Bureau of Reclamation Jim Barton – Corps of Engineers

July 13, 2011



Outline

- Part I Future Climate and Hydrology Datasets
- Part II Reservoir Operations Assessment for Reclamation Tributaries
- Part III Reservoir Operations Assessment
 - Columbia River Basin Flood Control
 - Hydrology Report
- Part IV Summary Report



Schedule

- 2009 Collaborative process began
- 2010 Hydrology datasets selected
- Dec 2010 Part I Report RMJOC
- Jan 2011 Part II Report Reclamation
- June 2011 Part III Report BPA and Corps
- August 2011 Summary Report RMJOC



Projected change in mean annual precipitation (%) over the Columbia-Snake River Basin, from 1970-1999 to 2030-2059



Projected change in mean annual temperature (°F) over the Columbia-Snake River Basin, from 1970-1999 to 2030-2059



Collaborative Process

UW CIG

Compiled GCM data, developed runoff models to produce future runoff (2020's, 2040's, and transient to year 2100). Funded by: WA Ecology, BPA, NWPPC, OR Dept WR, & BC Environment

Technical Team

Selected scenarios

Provided advice

Review



Collaborative Process

Reclamation

Produced future forecasts for all locations, used own reservoir models to simulate future tributary flows

Corps

Computed future flood control space requirements based on perfect and imperfect runoff forecasts and current SRD's

BPA

Ran Columbia River system power models with new data Compared Climate Change data to historic Wrote reports



Part II – Reservoir Operations Assessment for Reclamation Tributary Basins

Yakima, Deschutes and Snake Rivers



Part II – General Results

- Increased winter runoff, less spring/summer runoff
- Impacts on water supply not as great as anticipated
- Reclamation flood control curves self-adjusting
- Increased reliance on stored water vs. natural flow



Part II: Yakima River – Flow Example

RMJOC



Familiar story: Warming leads to more winter runoff, less spring-summer runoff; Rivers get lower in late summer 10

AOWER SYSTEM RMJOC

Part III Flood Control – Approach and Limitations

- Monthly time step and 70-year streamflow period rather than daily modeling due to time, data, and model limitations
- Assumed use of <u>current flood control storage reservation</u> <u>diagrams & procedures</u> rather than developing new diagrams/procedures in response to climate change
- Analysis focused on end-of-month flood control requirements during <u>evacuation period</u> and only <u>estimated</u> flood control requirements during the refill period



RMJOC

Initial Findings and Implications for Flood Risk Management

- Finding: Overall, climate change results show higher runoff variability in sub-basins across the region
 <u>Implication</u>: Overall distribution of flood control storage at different reservoirs may need to be revised, water management decisions may become more challenging
- 2. <u>Finding</u>: Many basins have generally higher fall/winter runoff, runoff shifted earlier in the spring, and lower runoff in the spring and summer

Implication: Reservoir draft for flood risk management may need to draft to the deepest draft earlier.



Initial Findings and Implications for Flood Risk Management, cont.

3. <u>Finding</u>: Winter rain and rain on snow events more likely, leading to less predictable reservoir inflows <u>Implication</u>: Some projects may need to be drafted deeper earlier in fall/winter season to respond to this unpredictability, draft rate limits may need to be revisited







Summary of Results of Climate Change Data for Libby and Dworshak Reservoirs



Changes in hydrologic patterns in one basin are not necessarily the same as in another basin



Part III Summary – BPA Analysis



Model Input: Natural Streamflows at The Dalles for 2020's & 2040's

2020's Natural Flow at TDA: 70 year avg. 600.000 550,000 500.000 450.000 Climate Change scenarios result in 400,000 higher natural streamflows in the 350,000 winter to spring period... 300.000 Qn (cfs) 250,000 and lower streamflows in the 200,000 summer, generally speaking 150,000 100,000 2040's Natural Flow at TDA: 70 year avg. Aug II Apr II May June Aug I July Mar Sep 600.000 Apr 550,000 dv 23: MW/D Study 24: LW/W 500.000 dv 27: MW/W Study 28: LW/D 450,000 400,000 350.000 Qn (cfs) 300,000 250,000 200,000 150,000 100,000 50,000 Apr II Aug I Aug II May June Sep Feb Mar July 0 C ş ő Pr Study 30: MC Study 21: Base 17 Study 32: C ----- Study 33: MW/D Study 34: MW/W



McNary Discharge Comparisons to Base Case

RMJOC



All four scenarios were modeled using the same load assumptions as the Base Case (2012 load projections)



Next Steps Short-Term < 10 Years

It is premature to include current climate change science in current operations. It is however, the time to start the conversation and plan for the future. Proposed actions include:

- Refining daily data to enable better analysis of flood risk.
- Conduct 2010 Modified Flow analysis (to be published this fall).
- Conduct backcasts (or use peer-reviewed backcasts already conducted) of temperature, streamflow, and seasonal streamflow ratios
- Establish criteria for when "change" warrants adjustments to current operations and planning processes (e.g. adopt climate change as the base case).
- Once IPCC's 5th datasets are available (about 2013), identify and conduct new studies to update current, downscaled climate change scenarios.
- Coordinate and share climate change information with other Federal agencies and regional stakeholders.



Next Steps Long-Term Planning >10 Years

- Long-term planning processes will continue to use the 70 or 80 year modified streamflow record as the base case.
- Scenario analysis using the climate change data sets will be performed to look at the range of potential outcomes and to identify risks.
- Future long-term contracts and processes need to be flexible and adaptable to include actual climate information, especially when they span several decades.
- Some examples of future long-term planning processes that should have climate change analysis include.
 - Columbia River Treaty (2014/2024)
 - Corps Flood Control Studies
 - Future BiOps
 - NEPA processes that require hydro regulation studies
 - Asset Planning for Hydro Asset Strategy
 - Maintenance planning practices and guidelines
 - BPA's Resource Program
 - Reclamation storage studies



Contact Information:

Pat McGrane - USBR 208.378.5215 Jim Barton - USACE 503.808.3930 Rick Pendergrass – BPA 503.230.7666



